

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	09/857,719		MORISHITA ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Q. Janice Li		1632		

All Participants:

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(2) Sheree L. Rybak, Appl. Rep.

Date of Interview: 7 April 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: response to non-final action

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner indicated that claims are allowable contingent on correction of formalities; and requested a clean copy from applicants for pages 1 and 11 of the specification because these current pages on record contain unclear hand-writtings.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



Q. JANICE LI, M.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)